ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

The Time of Acharya Sankara

Dr R.Subramony

Associate professor and Head in English

The Madura College (Autonomous)

Madurai, India

Abstract

It was the time when Buddhism was on the decline in India after flourishing for nearly a

thousand years. In the midst of the prevailing degenerate religious and social conditions,

Sankara was fired with the zeal of re-establishing the supremacy of the Vedic religion and

philosophy in all its purity and grandeur and cleansing society of all accretions and excess by

assimilating to it the good points in Buddhism that were in harmony with the Vedic teachings.

Key words- Sankara, Buddhism, Vedic dharma, Upanishads, Brahma Sutra

It was the time when Buddhism was on the decline in India after flourishing for nearly

a thousand years. In the midst of the prevailing degenerate religious and social conditions,

Sankara was fired with the zeal of re-establishing the supremacy of the Vedic religion and

philosophy in all its purity and grandeur and cleansing society of all accretions and excess by

assimilating to it the good points in Buddhism that were in harmony with the Vedic teachings.

Sankara saw the glory that was the Sanatana Dharma and the situation that was

prevailing in the country. He saw that the Buddhism had degenerated into various corrupt

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

practices owing to the mass influx into the Buddhist fold of all sorts of unregenerate people.

Buddha's teachings were misunderstood and there was a lot of confusion in society.

The Buddha in his own time had criticised vain philosophical speculations and blind

ritualism, involving often sacrifices of animals and the neglect of man and his suffering here.

He started a reformatory movement, with emphasis on a practical ethical life of building up of

character and attaining true wisdom and feeling sympathy and concern for the suffering of other

beings, to offset the situation that was then current. In a sense he taught the transcendental

Advaita aspect of Vedanta, without generally using Vedantic terms but taking its essence,

removing of the ritualistic and theistic aspects of Veda and Vedanta, with emphasis on Yoga,

practical ethics, and removal of suffering.

The Buddha's illustrious and noble personality and magnanimous heart lent force

to his teachings, and they became very popular and widespread for some centuries. That was

not sufficient. The the general mass of the people were confused, and because of the

negativistic teachings of impermanence (ksanika-vada), No-self doctrine (anatma-vada), denial

of God, and excessive emphasis on austere dry monasticism and its indiscriminate

propagation the ordinary people were misled and confused. Unable to follow these monastic

ideals, the whole society was rampant with corruption. By the 3rd century A.D., many

degenerate sects arose among the Buddhists. Uvamacara works like the Guhya-Samaja-Tantra,

with bacchanalian and orgiastic doctrines based on Manjusri-Mulakalpa, emerged to support

and advocate such corrupt practicesIn the prevailing climate of thought and life, the vedic

society also had to some extent degenerated for want of great teachers and lack of propagation

of good ideas and true doctrines. As a result all sorts of immoral practices set in and were

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

abounding throughout the country. There was no doubt great reaction in the country and attempts were being made to bring back the people to the pure Vedic Dharma, as we can see from the rise of the Gupta and other Empires in the North, and the Pallava, Cola, Cera and Pandya kingdoms in the South, who supported the Sanatana Vaidika Dharma. The bhakti movements of Alwars and the Nayanmars also strove hard to bring back the Buddhists and other people to the pure Vedic path and preached theistic devotional Vaisnvaism and Saivism. The great Sankara arose at this juncture in Kerala. He saw clearly that if the people were to be won back to the Vedic fold then:

- 1. The extravagances of the Vedic ritualism involving sacrificing of animals must be mitigated and ritualism must be purified and spiritualized.
- 2. There must be place for a God of mercy who can be worshipped and loved.
- 3. The absolutistic and the personalistic sruti texts must be harmonized, and there must be place for Jnana, Bhakti, Karma and Yoga in a harmonious manner.
- 4. A thousand year long Buddhistic heritage could not be done away with.

 The good points in it, which are not contradictory to the Vedic doctrines, must be fused with the Vedic heritage, purifying and modifying both to suit the times.
- 5. Society must be oriented to the spiritual ideal; but the duties and modes of spiritual sadhana of people must be regulated and harmonized with their capacities, understanding, and stage of development; and the pitfalls of monasticism advocated universally by Buddhism without any high criteria, which ideal, must be avoided. Society must grow from within in an all-round harmonious way, and not merely certain titbits of reforms be superimposed on it, neglecting all other aspects.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

6. The negativistic and apparently nihilistic philosophy of Buddhism must

be replaced by the philosophy of the Upanisadic Absolute Brahman, presenting itself

in all as the Self (Atman) and leading to Peace and Bliss Eternal, and it must be co-

ordinated with the other systems of philosophy based on the Vedas, which are dualistic

and theistic in nature, but more easy for the people in general to understand and

follow.(39-40)

His Methodology

Sankara started on his mission of propounding the comprehensive Advaitic doctrines

as the basic uniting philosophy and of rejuvenation of society and revival of Vaidika Dharma

under its aegis, with its twofold objectives of abhyudaya and nihsreyasa, that is the Secular

Welfare and Prosperity and Spiritual Felicity and Freedom.

He travelled for the fulfillment of his mission all over the country on foot, from north

to south and east to west - form Kashmir to Kanyakumari and Kamrup to Kutch, meeting

opponents in debate and making disciples and purifying social and religious customs and

practices.

He wrote profound commentaries in beautiful, clear, simple Sanskrit on the

Prasthanatraya, the three spacious foundational texts of the Vedanta philosophy,

namely, (1) the Upanisads, where the Vedic, thought and quest for the Ultimate Reality finds

its culmination and is known as the Vedanta; (2) the Brahma Satra-s which asystematizes on a

rational basis the various spiritual revelations and realizations of the sages that are recorded in

the Upanisads and strings them together into a coherent philosophy of Brahman, the Ultimate

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Reality; and (3) the Bhagavad Gita, which gives practical expression to this philosophy by

showing the paths to the realization of that Reality and methods of bringing our everyday life

into harmony with the philosophical truths. Thus Vedanta has all these three aspects in its

methodology. It has revelational tradition (sruti) as its basis, where we have got the profound

direct spiritual intuitions of the great seers; then these intuitions have been put on a rational

basis (yukti); and they are verified and realized by oneself in life through sadhana or spiritual

science of a universally realizable philosophical religion open to rational investigation. It goes

to the credit of the brilliant insight of Sankara to have introduced this triple scientific

methodology for the first time in the history of philosophy and religion in the world.

Sankara, when propounding his philosophy takes recourse to all these three. He

declares that he considers the sruti as the primary authority or means of valid knowledge

(pramana) with regard to transcendental Truth, since it alone can give us first hand knowledge

of supra-sensual and supra-mental spiritual verities to form the hypotheses which may be later

reasoned out and verified. If there is no experiential hypothesis, neither reasoning nor

verification can take place.

Reason Vis-a-Vis Revelation (Scripture)

Acharya Sankara gave supreme importance to the scriptures. Sankara saw with his

keen insight clearly the limitations of empirical reason and its conflicting nature when it is not

wedded to experienced facts. Though reason is a very good instrument, and we have perforce

to take recourse to it in communicating with others, it is not capable of yielding truth or facts

by itself. It can evaluate, analyze, co-ordinate, and infer; but it can act only on the basis of

direct or previous perceptions. It cannot create facts or determine their nature, which depend

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

on the things themselves and not on reason. It can be compared to light which can only reveal existing things, but does not create them. This is evident in the case of sensual knowledge or perception. The knowledge of things depends upon the perception through the senses, but not the things themselves. But the senses may be defective. Then comes reason to correct our knowledge with reference to previous perceptions of oneself or perceptions of others. So reason is very helpful in rectifying our knowledge through analysis, coordination, etc., and to posit new facts on the basis of inference which, however, needs to be verified by direct perception.

Just an in regard to external phenomena and the external world, the senses are our instruments of knowledge (pramana), similarly with regard to things that are transcendental and are not amenable to the senses or the ordinary mind, it is intuition or suprasensual perception that is the criterion of knowledge. We directly perceive the truth, we do not reason it out; for the truth of the reason itself is ultimately directly perceived and not by another reason. No amount of reasoning in a vacuum can give us an inkling of truth, nor can we experience it by mere reasoning. It can only build on the perceived facts, and its conclusions again need to truth of reasoning is also intuited; it cannot depend on another reasoning to prove its truth, for it will lead to regress ad-infinitum. In the case of both external sensual phenomena and the supra-sensual reality, direct perception or experience (aparoksa anubhuti) is the primary criterion. And the scripture is the record of such spiritual perceptions. They give us the hypothesis of these truths; and to ascertain their correctness and their probability we have to employ reason, and must realize them to verify their truth as facts and have conviction.

That is why Sankara, while giving primacy to the scriptures or revelation (sruti), stresses the inevitability of the other two pramanas, reasoning (yukti) and realization www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

(suanubhuti), and this last one he considers the final clinching pramana (Vivekacudamani, 477

, 474-478). It is accepted that though reason cannot give truth directly, truth cannot contadict

or be disharmonious with reason. Otherwise any individual or group can claim its book as a

scripture.

Again a scripture is not a pramana in empirical matters, where other pramanas are

operative.

It is the beauty of the Upanisads that they themselves uphold this threefold criteria of

truth. They declare: atma va are drastavyah srotavyo, mantavyo, nididhyasitavyah. The Self,

my dear, is to be seen. How has it to be seen? It has to be heard about first (srotavyah); It should

be first heard about from those who have seen or from the sciptures. Then we have to cogitate

about it (mantavyah) and find out whether it stands to reason, whether the intuition is correct

and whether there is possibility of its existence. After proper reflection on the matter, when

working faith is generaed in the mind, we have to strive to realize it ourselves throught the

prescribed sadhana-s or spiritual practices (nididhyasa) (Vivekacudamani, 70). We may also

compare our experience with other experimenters in the field. However, real Self-realization

brings immediate and unshakable certitude and conviction like seeing the sun. Ultimately,

realization is the last and final pramana. It is only when we have experienced the Truth

ourselves and find it is harmony with the other pramana-s that we get a firm conviction and not

before that, either through the scripture or through reasoning severally. All the three pramana-

s have to be employed to arrive at Truth in a mutually co-ordinated manner to reinforce each

other and not in isolation, for though each pramana is great in its own place, there will remain

uncertainty and every change of gonig wrong or awry if each is pursued exclusively. Therefore

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Sankara says: srutya, yuktya, svanubhutya janatva sarvatmyam atmanah - have realized the

oneness and infinity of the Self though Scripture, reasoning and one's own experience.

The spiritual truths are given to us through the sruti; because these relate to annudriya

vastu (supra-sensual Reality). Sankara says that in regard to supra-sensual verities: Sruti alone

is our pramana (atindriya vastu visaye sruttireva nah pramanam). But anyone may produce a

book and claim it is a scripture.

So it must be in harmony with reason or logic (yukti, nyaya or tarka). But tarka by

itself has not firm basis (tarka apratisthanat). One person may put forward a conclusion very

cogently and another may demolish it with equally powerful reasons, as we see in life. Still all

the while, we may only be wranging with words and not concerned with actual facts at all.

Hence the appeal to experience. All three together must affirm the Truth.

A Harmonizing Philosophy

Sankara, builds up his comprehensive philosophy on the basis of sruti, supports it with

reason, and appeals to experienceSanakara was not only scientific in his outlook, but also

comprehensive and inclusive in his approach. He did not argue with others, or refute other

systems of philosophy, merely for the sake of refuting. He refuted only those points which were

contrary to any of the above three criteria. He accepted the other aspects in them to the extent

they were in harmony with these. He knew that Truth can be expressed in different ways on

the phenomenal plane, and as such he also accepted the relative validity of certain aspects in

their own fields, though not as Ultimate Truth. For instance, he was bold enough to state that

each pramana has its own sphere of operation where it is fully valid. Sruti has its own sphere,

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

reasoning has its own sphere, and sense-perception has its own. And in each sphere that particular type of pramana is operative and dominant. He declares that the scriptures have not validity where it contradicts direct perception. Supposing the sruti comes to the level of the phenomenal world, where the sense-perception is pramana and says something which is contrary to our sense-experience, that fire is dark and cold, then, even if there be hundreds of such texts they have to be rejected as invalid, because they contradict what is the patent experience of everybody on the sense-perceptino plane that fire is brilliant and hot. Similarly, sense-experience cannot be a valid authority on the transcendental plane. There is day and night from the standpoint of the earth, but there is not day and night from the standpoint of the sun.

The genius of Sankara was that he tried to co-ordinate all levels of human experience, transcendental and empirical, grade them properly. He gave them the validity they deserved in their own realm. How did he do it? He did it on the basis of the criteria of Reality. There are three types of realities in experience. One is the absolute unchanging Reality, unaffected by the three divisions of time – past, present, and future (trikala-abadhita), and is always the same. If an entity is ever subject to change nad has only conditioned existence, it cannot be called absolutely real. What is It that changes? So, there is an unchanging Reality in the midst of all the changes which is the Absolute Existence. This is termed paramarthika satta. It has to be realized as it is.

This pure Existence is designated in the Upanisads as sat or Brahman, and it is realized as the pure Self within every being, the unchanging Witness (saksi) of all changes.

Then there is the ever-changing dynamic universe (jagat), which we experience as an object on the phenomenal plane, in which we as empirical persons live and act, philosophize, www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

and realize values, as he points out in his Introduction to the commentary on the Brahma Sutras. This has only conditioned phenomenal or empirical reality (vyavaharka satta), like the reality of day and night on the earth. The souls (jiva-s) are like the reflections of the sun in water, reflections of the Absolute in the psycho-physical organisms. They have bondage and liberation from the phenomenal point of view; but the real Inner Self in al beings, being the Brahman itself, which is the Ground of the universe, is ever pure, free, and perfect (nitya-suddha-buddha-muktasvabhava) like the sun which is ever luminous and has no day and night, though it is their cause on the earth. Then there is pratibhasika satta, which is apparent reality, like the mirage which is experienced and is mistaken for water but is not amenable to activity as water. Such apparent objects have not even empirical reality, but can cause us fear and trouble due to the delusion or mistake notions.

The universe, on the other hand, though it is an ever-changing flow in the process of time, and things are not the same for two moments, cannot be dismissed as unreal like the mirage because we live and move and have our being in it as empirical personalities in the universe are related to each other as conditioned realities and have their mutual relative validity as long as they last. Change is the very essence of the universe, it is jagat (constant dynamic movement and it is change that makes activity possible. The changing universe of names and forms and concepts derives its reality from the unchanging Absolute or Brahman, which is its unchanging ground. It cannot exist by itself apart from Brahman, whereas Brahman being Absolute Existence (sat) can remain with out the manifested universe. This is realized in transcendental experience we have in deep-sleep state where we have something akin to non-dualistic Selfawareness without the awareness of the universe or personality as an object, or even of the ego as 'I'.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Brahman transcends time, space, and causation, which are aspects of maya; It is satyam jnanam, anantam (Absolute Existence – Consciousness-Infinity). Brahman being Infinite, is Absolute Bliss 9anandam) as well; hence it is often referred to as sat-cit-ananda. The universe is subject to Time-Space-Causation, and thus it is, as it were, Its (Brahman's) opposite. In this sense, the universe taken in itself is mithya (relatively or phenomenally real); i.e. when compared to Brahman, it is a-satyam or a-sat (not-real), a jnanam or a-cit (not-conscious), an=anantam or sa-antam (non-infinite or finite) and nir-anandam (not-blissful). The universe expresses the characteristics of Brahman under conditions of Time-Space-Causation in a dichotomistic manner (dvandvatmaka), that is, existence-non-existence, knowledge-ignorance, massive-minute, happiness-misery, etc.

So, there are these three types of realities. Sankara pointed out that the Sruti states that the Ultimate Reality is non-dual indivisible Brahman (akhandam advitiyam Brahma), and from that this whole universe has emerged through its inscrutable Power called maya, the Principle of projection and relativity. We can and do intuitively grasp Brahman, the Supreme Reality, taught by the sruti, as our Inner-Self (pratyagatman). When we come to the phenomenal world of mental cum sense experience with Time-Space-Causation, reason becomes operative. Therefore, there must be a rational process by which we can understand and co-ordinate the whole phenomena. Sri Sankara builds up with scriptural authority a consistent and coherent cosmology delineating the evolution of the universe from that Brahman through the power of maya. Within this universe man can validly conduct his various empirical activities including those related to science and technology as already pointed out. If he confines only to that, he misses the Reality and becomes self-alienated by holding on to the unreal.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

In relation to the universe and the living beings, Brahman associated with Its maya-

power is Isvara, the Creator and the Lord of the Universe, who can be adored, worshipped, and

loved.

Thus Brahman is seen by us from the phenomenal point of view as the triad of Isvara-

jiva-jagat (God-Soul-Universe) and will ever appear to be so in time, and the game of the

universe will go on. As such, Sankara asserts that we cannot do away with moral and ethical

principles, devotion to and love of God, and even rituals, as also other aspirations and values

which have a reference to the relative life in this world or in after-life based on personality.

And the scriptures too have their validity with reference to man's social and spiritual well-

being. They point out the way to us to transcend this relative existence and realize the Ultimate

Truth, the incorporeal Infinite Saccidananda Atman as our real Self, and how to order our life

here in society towards that end.

Acharya Sankara points out that the dharma taught by the Vedas is two-fold leadingto

abhyudaya (Secular Welfare) and nihsreyasa (Spiritual Summum Bonum). Therefore, society

must be based on dharma (individual and social conduct in harmony with Truth), oriented to

spiritual Liberation (moksa). Sankara recognized dharma, karma, samsara etc. in relative

existence, which he holds can be transcended by bhakti (devotion) and self-surrender to Isvara

(the personal aspect of Brahman), or by jnana of the Transpersonal Absolute Brahman

as one's real Self or Atman, that is, by Self-Knowledge. And karma is auxiliary to both.

Sankara, with the support of the sruti, propounds not only the identify of Atman and

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Brahman, but also of Isvara-jiva-jagat with Brahman, for they are the manifestations to us of Brahman through maya – Its innate Creative-Power, like the shining power of light. Maya, though experienced phenomenally as a separate entity, we cannot determine its precise nature (anirvacantya). Maya seems to hide Brahman-Atman, like could the sun, acts as the creative power of Isvara, covers the knowledge of the jiva, and serves as the material cause (prakrti) of the universe. It is inseparable from Brahman, like the burning property from fire; the burning property (dahika sakti) is its very nature (svarupa). The fire itself is not affected by it, only others are affected. Similarly, maya is not cognized in Brahman as a separate entity; it is felt and recognized by us only in the state of duality engendered by maya itself and not when identity with Brahman as a separate entity; it is felt and recognized by us only in the state of duality engendered by maya itself and not when identity with Brahman is realized. Thus Sankara establishes the absolute non-duality (Advaita), oneness of Brahman, in the transcendental state

(nirupadhika akhanda ekarasa advitiya Brahman) taught by the sruti as the Ultimate Reality of Truth.

Universal Spiritual Religion

Maya can be transcended and the identify with Brahman be realized by the jiva.

Acharya

Sankara states that it can be done either: 1) By the Grace of Isvara (God), who is the lord of maya in the phenominal state, through devotion and self-surrender unto Him, i.e, through the path of bhakti, which is an easy path; or 2) By the direct but very difficult path of jnana (ksurasya dhara nisita duratyaya durgam pathah) taught by the sruti, to be traversed with the help of a srotriya brahma-nistha guru through the renunciation of every type of worldly or www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

otherwordly desires and meditation on the identity texts of the sruti (mahavakya-s). The paths of Jnana-Yoga, Bhakti-Yoga, Karma-Yoga, and several other yoga-s, leading ultimately to the supreme jnana and identify with Brahman, if desired, or conducive to merging in Isvara and remaining with Him for ever with out seeking identify with Brahman, if preferred, both are taught in the Bhagavad-Gita. Sankara also admits the possibility of Jnani-s remaining on the level of Bhakti and Karma as jivanmukta-s. he also holds that the followers of other yoga-s too, if desired, can ultimately reach to a state of identity with Brahman, through jnana (acquired by

God's Grace, niskama karma, or other means), for this identity is a pre-existing natural non-dual state attainable by all, since it is only to be recognized in knowledge, though initially the other yoga-s operate in the realm of duality. Here jnana or jnananista, that is being established in jnana may be distinguished from jnana marga or path of jnana.

The identity of Brahman-Atman or jivatman-paramatman can be realized through the path of jnana by those only whose minds have been rendered one-pointed, subtle, and pure through spiritual and moral disciplines and trained in seeing subtle realities. As the Katha Upanisad (1.3.12) says: "This Brahman is hidden deep in all beings as the Atman and is therefore not manifested; but it is verily perceived by aspirants well-versed in seeing subtle things by the one-pointed, sharp, subtle buddhi. "Brahman as Isvara is immanent in the universe and the living beings. He is perceived in the hearts of all beings as the individualized Soul (jivatman) reflected in the buddhi. That very same Brahman, when we look through our personality, into our interior, into our hearts, we experience as the Atman. There is the infinite sky: if we look through the window of our room, we just glimpse a small patch of it; but we are seeing the very same vast sky. It is neither a patch nor separate from the infinite sky. We realize it only when we come out of the room. Similarly, when we go beyond the five layers of www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

our personality (panca-kosa) and the ego, which are like walls hiding our real nature, we realize only when we come out of the room. Similarly, when we go beyond the five layers of our personality (panca-kosa) and the ego, which we were taking as jivatman, subject to all limitations, and were getting only a distorted glimpse of it in our 'heart' or buddhi, is the very same Infinite Brahman, the substratum of the whole universe. So, Brahman is not only out there, is not only the substratum and source of the whole external universe, but also of our own personality as well, which is a part of the universe, and can be experienced and realized in the heart of our own being.

With that also dawns the knowledge that Brahman alone has become the triad Isvarajivajagat. Brahman is both the Absolute and the Relative. And the Upanisad describing the
result of such a realization, declares: "When that one Brahman is realized in the Transcendent
(para) and the Relative (apara), the knots (of ignorance) in the heart are cut asunder, all doubts
are scattered (like mist before the sun) and all the effects of works (karma) done in a state of
phenomenal existence vanish (like unto those done in dream which vanish on waking)." Such
a person looking upon this universe as Brahman only (Brahmaiva idam visvam), and seeing all
beings in himself and himself in all beings, and the presence of the Lord everywhere
(Isavasyam idam sarvam), moves about in the world bringing good to all like the spring
spontaneously (vasantavat lokahitam carantah) and opening the eyes of people of Truth
(Vivekacudamani, 37).

Acarya Sankara was one such realized soul. Basing teaching on the all-pervasive principle of Advaita, the one Reality pervading all aspects of life and existence, he gave impetus and support to all types of genuine spiritual striving. He said that the quarrel between www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

various religious sects for futile, because all are attempting to reach the same Reality in

different ways under different names and forms. It is the one reality that is manifesting in

different forms and hence can be viewed and approached in different ways. Those who see

duality as final, who see

Reality as manifold, quarrel and come to conflict with each other, but those who see

the One

Reality pervading everywhere do not do so. As Sri Krsna says in the Gita, "Seeing with

an equal eye, the uniformly existing Lord, everywhere, the man of wisdom does not injure the

self by the self, and thus reaches the Highest goal" (XIII.28). Also, "Verily, even here while

living they have conquered relative existence whose mind rests in evenness; they indeed rest

in Brahman, since Brahman in without any imperfection and is equal in all." (V.19)

A Great Nation – Builder

Seeing the same self-working in, and manifesting through, all religions, and going

towards the same Goal, directly or indirectly as the as the Siva Mahimna stotra says, Acharya

Sankara gave support to the differing religious sects, whether they worshipped Visnu, Siva,

Sakti, Ganapati, Surya, Kumara, or any other deity. That is why he is fitingly called the

Sanmata Sthapanacarya Acarya who established Six Religious Sects). It is the same ancient

spirit which was declared in the Rg Veda; 'Ekam sat; vipra bhudha vadanti'. The same thought,

the same river of this universal thought, is following through all Indian culture. That is why

there had been very few religious conflicts in India, especially using violence, before the advent

of fanatical

Muslims. It is Sankara's genius that he gave philosophical basis and support to this idea

of Oneness or Unity in Diversity, which is inherent in the very structure of Indian thought.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Acharya Sankara, through his universal philoshophy gave a broad basis to all our

thinking, both secular and spiritual, and then to perpetual this philosophy and the establishment

of dharma, of moral purity, which is an eans to the realization of the goal of life. He

foundedgreat spiritual centres called matha-s, at the four quarters of India, for the propagation

of noble universal ideas and ideals-on at Puri in the East, another at Dwaraka in the West a

third at Badarinatha in the North, and the fourth at Srngagiri (Sringeri) in the South. He covered

the whole country. Sankara asked his chief disciples who were installed as the heads of these

mathas. They were given the task of disseminating dharma based on the twofold ideal of the

Vedas-abhyudaya and nihsreyasa.

He organized the wondering monks in the country into ten monastic Orders, called the

dasa-nami-sampradaya, under the designations-Puri, Giri, Bharati, Sarasvati, Tirtha, Vana,

Parvata, Sagara, ARanya, Asrama, and inspired them with the mission of uplifting the country

out of the morass of moral corruption and degeneration. They were enjoined to work in the

cities and towns, hills and forests, and in places of pilgrimage and sea-coasts, as well as to

cultivate philosophical knowledge. They were at the same time to be devoted to spiritual life

and higher learning and their propagation from the established Asrama-s, as the names of the

Orders indicate. Thus the whole country was soon who over to and rejuvenated by the Vaidika

Dharma which conduces to welfare in this world as well as spiritual fulfilment whereby we

realize the highest goal, the summum bonum of life.(Mukhyananda, 140)

After accomplishing his mission, Sankara went to the holy Kedarnatha, the place of

Siva in himalayas and entered into mahasamadhi.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Mukhyananda states, "Sankara was thus a great towering personality who within the course of a short life of 32 years, brought about a thorough revolution in the social, religious, spiritual, and philosophical life and thought of the country. He laid the foundations of modern Hinduism on a composite, comprehensive, and all-inclusive universal basis. He had such a brilliant mind, scientific viewpoint, and rational approach that he appeals to the modern man. He appears just and modern, in many respects, as if he were living today, for he was concerned with eternal universal thoughts. Some of the special problems that he dealt with in those days are there even today and the solutions that he suggested are so much applicable even now. That is why his appeal is there to all modern people as well." (55)

Acharya Sankara was brilliant writer also in Sanskrit. His Sanskrit is mellifluous, and at the same time very forceful, impressive, and direct. He has not only written commentaries on the ten major Upanisads, and Brahma sutra and the Gita, but also produced a large number of other important secondary auxilliary works (prakarana grantha-s), like the Vivekacudamani, UpadesaSahasrit, etc. they elucidate the different aspects and tenets of the Advaita philosophy and its practical implications, in a simple non-technical charming language, both in prose and verse, and show ways and means to the realization of the highest Truth. He has also composed numerous beautiful and profound stotra-s (hymns) of a philosophical and realizational nature as well as those in praise and glorification of the different forms of the Lord (Deities), and even of holy rivers and cities like Ganga and Kashi. They exhort men and owmen to devote themselves to the Lord and to fulfilment in life by realizing the highest Truth and not waste this precious human life. This was one of the ways in which he gave support and fillip to all genuine religious efforts of mankind.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Sankara was thus a great illumined sage, philosopher, scholar and poet; he was also a

man of action, great reformer and organizer, and stabilizer of society by the resuscitation of

ethical and spiritual values.

Contributions of Sri Sankara to the Religio-Philosophic Thought

The great and unique contributions of Sankara to the Religio philosophic though of

the world are:

1. He co-ordinated the profound spiritual insights, and realizations of the Vedic

seers recorded in the Upainsads and expounded the philosophy of Vedantic non-dualism

(Advaita), founded, for the first time, on the triple basis (prasthamatraya) of the Upanisads

the Brahma-sutra-s and the Gita, answering to spiritual tradition (sruti), rational

investigation of Truth or Ultimate Reality (yukti), and Its actual verification or realization

in life (svanubhati). Thus he rescued philosophy from vain speculation, and religion from

mere dogmatic beliefs, and gave to both of them a rational, scientific, and universal basis.

By bringing these to gether, to reinforce each other, he helped the evolution of a philosophic

religion and spiritual science open to investigation, and at once rational, comprehensive,

and universally realizable by every competent person, even as the case of physical sciences.

2. He gave a practical scientific foundation to philosophy by showing that the

Absolute Spiritual Reality (Brahman), cognized as the experiential Self (Atman) in all, is

the pure subject and the basis of all our knowledge and experience.

3. He pointed out that Maya-power of Brahman, is a fact of empirical experience,

but is relativistic in nature, i.e. in the state of identity of the pure subject with Brahman,

Maya and its products are not experiences. Maya is also the principle of apparent

diversification through time, space, and causation, operative in this variegated universe,

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

which is its product (*maya* –*kalpita desa-kala-kalana*–*vaicitrya-chitri-kriam*). The products of maya too share its imponderable relativistic nature (*svakale satyavat bhati prabodhe sati-asat-bhavet*; *Atmabodha*, 6).

- 4. He showed that our empirical personality arises due to the mixing up through superimposition (*adhyasa*) of the Self (pure subject) and the not self (the psycho-physical organism which, being a part of the objective universe, is an object). Hence all our empirical knowledge and activities, including those in the social, moral, scientific, philosophical, and religio spiritual fields, are relativistic in nature, and have, therefore, only empirical, i.e.relative or conditional, validity.
- 5. He established that the empirical self (jiva) in the individuals is the phenomenal manifestation of the Supreme Self (*Atman*) which is identical with Brahman, the Absolute Spiritual Reality, and his identity is transcendentally realizable where the Relativistic *maya* ceases to be *maya* and is identified with Brahman.
- 6. He declared that Brahman and *Isvara* (Godhead) are one and the same Infinite Spiritual Reality, viewed from the transcendental or monumental and the relative or phenomenal standpoints respectively that is, from the *nisprapanca* (devoid of the universe) and *saprapanca* (inclusive of the universe) points of view. The Supreme Brahman when viewed as associated with the universe is *Isvara* and as associated with the individual is *jiva*. Thus *brahman-atman-maya Isvara-jiva-jagat* are all identical transcendentally, though they appear functionally different phenomenally for enacting the drama of the universe-game. They have validity in their respective spheres on the relative plane, just as the same H₂O can appear as water, ice, vapour, fog, frost, show, foam etc. under different conditions, exhibiting different properties. That Supreme non-dual reality (Tat-sat), where all such phenomenal distinctions cease and is beyond all empirical words and thought, is known to

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

us prior to Enlightenment only through the sruti, which is a record of supramental

realizations.

7. He recognized and showed that within the framework of this comprehensive

system of thought all human desires and goals (purusartha-s) secular achievements

(abhyudaya) as well as religio-spiritual fulfillment (nishreyasa) (including graining Heaven

or *mukti*) are possible and can be meaningfully pursued by different persons according to

their competence. For this purpose there are varied means and methods and different

spiritual paths (yoga-s) suitable to different persons and conditions

Acharya Sankara harmonized all aspects of the teachings of the Upanisads (vedanda)

and synthesized them with our empirical life, knowledge, and activities in his comprehensive

system of thought giving them all graded values and validity. He could do this by the epoch –

making discovery of maya as the Principle of relativity and thus posting the paramarthika

(Noumenal or Transcendental) and *uyavaharika* (Phnemenal or Empirical) views of Reality.

His other great discovery, or rather rediscovery, is that the empirical self (jiva) is none other

than the Supreme Self (Atman - Brahman) reflected in every being. Thus the infinite

potentialities and perfection of the suprems self lie hidden in man, like that of a big banyan tree

in a minute seed (vatakanika), and hence everyone of us can manifest the infinite divine

(brahman) within by unfolding the potentialities and realizing the truth. And to this realization

he invites all mankind, reiterating the clarion call of Vedanta:

Srnvantu vive amrtasya putrah a ye dhamani divyani tasthuh vedahametam purusam

mahantam aditya varnam tamasah parastat; tameva viditva atimrtyumeti nanyh pantha vidyate

ayanaya.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

The grate scientist Albert Einstein discovered the revolutionary Relativity Theory and

the immense potentiality of the atom in recent times. Sankara was the great 'Einstein' of the

philosophical world who discovered, more than twelve centuries ago, maya as a Relativity

Principle and the infinite spiritual potentiality and perfection of the Soul as Atman. Besides, he

is the brilliant sun of the religio-spiritual world illuminating the Himalayas of the Soul.(143)

It is only we study Sankara in the historical perspective of the development and

evolution of the various religeo-philosophical concepts in the different schools and systems of

philosophy that glory of the mighty edifice of thought that he erected from out of all those

concepts, developed and refined further, culminating in the shining pinnacle of Advaita losing

itself in the

Absolute Brahman with the Atman as the only Pure Witness.

Advantages of the Advaitic View – point

What are the advantages of the Advaitic view – point? First of all, it recognized the

validity of the realizational statements in the Upansiads about the Infinite Trans-Personal,

Nondual Homogeneous Absolute Reality, beyond words and thought, which is the source of

all relative phenomena and is cognized as the Pure Witness Self in all.

Secondly, while it faces some inherent logical difficulty in accounting for the Infinite

Non-dual Homogenous Noumenal Reality appearing as the phenomenal many (the origin of

the universe and its beings is a profound mystery to all schools of religio-philosopic thought in

the workd as well as to science, not only to the Advaita philoshophy), the logical difficulties

faced by the dualistic systems are farr greater and numerous. However, if we remember that all

philosophizing is done within a given universe in which we find ourselves as living and

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

thinking persionalities, Sankara's explanation, by posting *maya* and *adhyasa* as facts of experience, seems to reconcile satisfactorily the One and the many.

Thirdly on the phenomenal relative plane, it can broadly accept the different dualistic and idealistic modes of explanations also, since a phenomenon can be accounted for in different ways, for it is we who see it and account for it, in fact, there are several theistic, non-theistic, realistic, and idealistic explanations for the game of the universe, which exclude each other, for each considers its own view absolute. However, Sankara recognizes that they all have elaborated in their own way beautiful systems which can very well serve their votaries to reach the goals envisaged by them, for the psychological principal is yat dhayati tat bhavati-whatever one meditates upon that one becomes or attains, (yoyat sraddhah sa eva sah- Gita, XVII.3).

Fourthly, the recognition of an Absolute Trans - Personal Reality and the phenomenal nature of Isvara as being our formulation of the Absolute on the empirical plane, allows for the conception worship, love, and contemplation of Ishvara under any name and form, as either 'He', or 'She', or 'It', and also without any form, with equal validity. Thus it renders support to all religious strivings and makes for religious harmony. Also, unlike a Personal Creatorgod, who is not a fact of experience universally and is conceived in different ways, and who presents lot of difficulties with regard to evil and misery in the world, Brahman as Trans – Personal Absolute Reality or Truth, which is ever present such difficulties. And Brahman is also a fact of intuitive experience at all times to all beings as their self.

Fifthly, it can also harmonize with science as it accepts satkarya-vada (effect is only modifivation of an existing cause, that is, the principle of Evolution and not Creation on the phenomenal plane, and renders explanation of things from the nature of things themselves, and www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

seeks no extraneous entity like a Creator-God for explanations vivekananda's Complete works

for the scientific aspect of Advaita).

Sixthly, Brahman-atman being an existent Trans-Personal Reality or Truth, IT can be

sought after by everyone, discovered, and realized by one's own efforts even by everyone,

discovered, and realized by one's own efforts even as the scientific truths are discovered. There

is no special favour for any one. Truth is equal to all, and open to all universally. It leads to

fearlessness, strength, and self-reliance, whereas dependence on an extraneous principle such

as Creator –God leads to fear, weakness, and self-abasement, unless it is surrender through pure

love with a sense of belonging. Hence, the *Upanisads* advocate the worship of God as one's

own higher or real Self and declare that he who thinks of the worshipped as different from

oneself does not know the Truth. (anyo asau anyo ahamasmi iti na sa veda'-Brh. Up.,1.4.10).

Seventhly, Advaitic conception does not take away anything from the bhakti attitude,

but bhakti finds its real fulfillment in Advaita when the bhakti loses himself completely in God,

and God alone remains. Bhakti becomes more intense as one goes nearer and nearer to God,

when the bhakti completely obliterates his ego and separateness, bhakti is at its highest. That

is why Sri Ramakrishna says para-bhakti and para-jnana are the same. Otherwise by his

separate identity, even as apart of him, he is putting a limitation on the omnipresence of God,

at least by his thought of separateness, or it implies that god is incomplete without him. Some

bhakti-s want to keep their separate identity to enjoy the bliss of god. This is, in a sense, selfish

attitude, because they love God for their own enjoyment and not for His own sake. The true

bhakti will say, 'wipe me out, O lord, and Thou alone reign supreme.' Further, it also makes

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

meaningful the repeated declaration of the sruti of the identity of Atman and Brahman, and the

insistent exhortation to realize this identity through Self-knowledge.

Eighthly, by recognizing the oneness and solidarity of all existence and the same

Atman existing in all beings, Advaita becomes the greatest sanction and meaningful support

for the practice of ethics and morality. It makes for freedom, equality, fearlessness, and love

of all beings, as the aspirant sees the same Self in all.

Conclusion

Thus Acarya Sankara has given us a very profound and compressive interpretation of

the

Vedanta in his works which for facility of reference is called the 'Advaita Philosophy'

by others. It may not be a perfect system in every way. In this imperfect world, nothing is

perfect. But with proper understanding and application it leads us to the Supreme Reality and,

meets the needs of all grades of aspira.

Sankara was a great spiritual genius with a comprehensive penetrating

intellect, breadth of vision, depth of insight, and a daring passion for Truth. He was imbued

with an intense reforming zeal for the all-round regeneration of society and establishment of

Dharma, and this he accomplished on the basis of the highest spiritual philosophy which

declares the Oneness of all Existence and the Divinity of man.

Dr S Radhakrishnan observes on Sankara in his Indian Philosophy, Vol 2:

The Advaitism of Sankara is a system of great speculative daring and logical

subtlety. It's austere intellectualism, its remorseless logic, which marches on in different

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

to the hopes and beliefs of man, its relative freedom from theological obsessions, make it a great example of a purely philosophical scheme. Thibaut, who cannot be charged with any partiality for Sankara, speaks of his philosophy in these words "The doctrine advocated by Sankara is, from a purely philosophical point of view, and apart from all theological considerations, the most important and interesting one which has arisen on Indian soil; neither those forms of the Vedanta which diverge from the view represented by Sankara, nor any of the non-Vedantic systems can be compared with the so called orthodox

Vedanta in boldness, depth and subtlety of speculations." It is impossible to read Sankara's writings packed as they are with serious and subtle thinking, without being conscious that one is in contact with a mind of a very fine penetration and profound spirituality. With his acute feeling of the immeasurable world, his stirring gaze into the abysmal mysteries of spirit, his unswerving resolve to say neither more nor less than what could be proved, Sankara stands out as a heroic figure of the first rank in the somewhat motley crowd of the religious thinkers of medieval India. His philosophy stands forth complete, needing neither a before nor an after. It has a self-justifying wholeness characteristic of works of art. It expounds its own presuppositions, is related by its own end, and holds all its elements in a stable, reasoned equipoise.

The list of qualifications which Sankara lays down for a student of Philosophy brings out how, for him, philosophy is not an intellectual pursuit but a dedicated life. The first, "discrimination between things eternal and non-eternal" demands of the student the power of thought, which helps him to distinguish between the unchanging reality and the changing world. For those who possess this power, it is impossible to

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

desist from the enterprise of metaphysics. "Renunciation of the enjoyment of the reward here and in the other world" is the second requirement. In the empirical world and man's temporal life within it there is little to satisfy the aspirations of spirit. Philosophy gets its chance, as well as its justification, through the disillusionment which life brings. The seeker after truth must refuse to abase himself before things as they are and develop an austere detachment characteristic of the superior mind. Moral preparation is insisted on as the third requisite, and, lastly, longing for liberation (mumukshutvam) is mentioned. We must have a mind disposed, as St. Luke expresses it, "for eternal life."

Sankara present to us the true ideal of philosophy, which is not so much knowledge as wisdom, not so much logical learning as spiritual freedom. For Sankara, as for some of the greatest thinkers of the world like Plato and Plotinus, Spinoza and Hegel, Philosophy is the austere vision of eternal truth, majestic in its freedom from the petty cares of man's paltry life. Through the massive and at the same time subtle dialectic of Sankara there shows forth a vivid, emotional temperament, without which philosophy tends to become a mere game of logic. A master of the strictest logic, he is also master of a noble and animated poetry which belongs to another order. The rays of his genius have illumined the dark places of thought and soothed the sorrows of the most forlorn heart. While his philosophy fortifies and consoles many, there are, of course, those to whom it seems to be an abyss of contradiction and darkness. But whether we agree or differ, the penetrating light of his mind never leaves us where we were.

Sankara appeared, at one and the same time, as an eager champion of the orthodox faith and a spiritual reformer. He tried to bring back the age from the brilliant

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

luxury of the Puranas to the mystic truth of the Upanishads. The power of the faith to lead the soul to the higher life became for him the test of its strength. He felt impelled to attempt the spiritual direction of his age by formulating a philosophy and religion which could satisfy the ethical and spiritual needs of the people better than the systems of Buddhism,

Mimamsa and Bhakti. The theists were veiling the truth in a mist of sentiment. With their genius for mystical experience, they were indifferent to the practical concerns of life. The Mimamsaka emphasis on karma developed ritualism devoid of spirit. Virtue can face the dark perils of life and survive only if it be the fine flower of thought. The Advaita philosophy alone, in the opinion of Sankara, could do justice to the truth of the conflicting creeds, and so he wrote all his works with the one purpose of helping the individual to a realisation of the identity of his soul with Brahman, which is the means of liberation from samsara.

In his wanderings from his birthplace in Malabar to the Himalayas in the north he came across many phases of worship and accepted all those which had in them the power to elevate man and refine his life. He did not preach a single exclusive method of salvation, but composed hymns of unmistakable grandeur addressed to the different gods of popular Hinduism-Vishnu, Siva Sakti, Surya. All this affords a striking testimony to the universality of his sympathies and the wealth of natural endowment. While revivifying the popular religion, he also purified it. He put down the grosser manifestations of the Sakta worship in South India. In the Deccan, it is said that he suppressed the unclean worship of Siva as a dog under the name of Mallari, and the per-nicious practices of Kapalikas whose god Bhairava desired human victims. He

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

condemned branding or marking the body with the metallic designs. He learned from the Buddhist Church that discipline, freedom from superstition and ecclesiastical organisations help to preserve the faith clean and strong, and himself established ten religious orders of which four retain their prestige till to-day.

The life of Sankara makes a strong impression of contraries. He is a philosopher and a poet, a savant and a saint, a mystic and a religious reformer. Such diverse gifts did he possess that different images present themselves, if we try to recall his personality. One sees him in youth, on fire with intellectual ambition, a stiff and intrepid debater. Another regards him as a shrewd political genius, attempting to impress on the people a sense of unity. For a third, he is a calm philosopher engaged in the single effort to expose the contradictions of life and though with an unmatched incisiveness. For a fourth, he is the mystic who declares that we are all greater than we know. There have been few minds more universal than his.

Sankara's system is unmatched for its metaphysical depth and logical power. Thought follows through naturally, until Advaitism is seen to complete and crown the edifice. It is a great example of monistic idealism which it is difficult to meet with a absolutely conclusive metaphysical refutation. Sankara holds up a vision of life acceptable in the highest moments of poetry and religion, when we are inclined to sympathise with his preference for intuition to the light of the understanding. So long as he remains on this high ground, he is unanswerable. But a lingering doubt oppresses the large majority of mankind, who very rarely get into these exalted heights. They feel that it is unjust to leave in such high disdain the world in which they live, move and

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

have their being, and relegate it to ajnana or darkness, offering merely a solace that all disagreeable appearances will quickly vanish in the eternal light. For them the all transforming sunlight of the heights is spurious, and they declare that Sankara's system is one of mystical indifference to fact. That human suffering will be healed, that the whole world will vanish like a pitiful mirage, that all our trouble is of our own making, and that in the world's finale all people will find that absolute oneness which will suffice for all hearts, compose all resentments and atone for all crimes, seem to many to be pious assumptions. The entranced self-absorption which arms itself with sanctity, involves a cruel indifference to practical life hardly acceptable to average intelligence.

Sankara knows all this, and so gives us a logical theism which does not slight the intellect, does not scorn the wisdom of ages and is at the same time the highest intellectual account of the truth. What is the relation between the absolutism of intuition and the empirical theism of logic, Sankara does not tell us; for as Goethe wisely observed, "man is born not to solve the problem of the universe, but to find out where the problem begins, and then to restrain himself within the limits of the comprehensible". Sankara recognises that there is a region which we cannot penetrate, and a wise agnosticism is the only rational attitude. The greatness of Sankara's achievements rests on the peculiar intensity and splendour of thought with which the search for reality is conducted on the high idealism of spirit grappling with the difficult problems of life, regardless of theological consequences, and on the vision of a consummation which places divine glory on human life.

ISSN: 2395 -5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Supreme as a philosopher and a dialectician, great as a man of calm judgment and wide toleration, Sankara taught us to love truth, respect reason and realise the purpose of life. Twelve centuries have passed, and yet his influence is visible. He destroyed many an old dogma, not by violently attacking it, but by quietly suggesting something more spiritual too. He put into general circulation a vast body of important knowledge and formative ideas which, though contained in the Upanishads, were forgotten by the people, and thus recreated for us the distant past. He was not a dreaming idealist, but a practical visionary, a philosopher, and at the same time a man of action, what we may call a social idealist on the grand scale. Even those who do not agree with his general attitude to life will not be reluctant to allow him a place among the immortals. (656)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ONLINE OF HUMANITIES (IJOHMN) ISSN: 2395 –5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Bibliography

Published sources

- Comans, Michael. "The Method of Early Advaita Vedānta: A Study of Gauḍapāda, Śaṅkara, Sureśvara, and Padmapāda". Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.2000
- Cousins, L.S. .Buddhism. In: "The Penguin Handbook of the World's Living Religions".

 Penguin.2010
- Doniger, Wendy .Merriam-Webster's Encyclopedia of World Religions. Merriam-Webster.1999
- Feuerstein, George .Handboek voor Yoga (Textbook of Yoga). Ankh-Hermes. 1978
- Fort, Andrew O. Jivanmukti in Transformation: Embodied Liberation in Advaita and Neo-Vedanta. SUNY Press.1998
- Fuller, C. J. .*The Camphor Flame: Popular Hinduism and Society in India.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 2004
- Greaves, Ron.. "From Totapuri to Maharaji: Reflections on a Lineage (Parampara)". 27th

 Spalding Symposium on Indian Religions, Oxford. 2002
- Hiltebeitel, Alf. Hinduism. In: Joseph Kitagawa, "The Religious Traditions of Asia: Religion, History, and Culture", Routledge 2002
- Isaeva, Natalia .*Shankara and Indian Philosophy. Albany: State University of New York Press* (SUNY). Keay, John (2000). India: A History. New York: Grove Press.1993
- Keshava Menon, Y. The Mind of Adi Shankaracharya. India: Jaico. 1976
- King, Richard .Orientalism and Religion: Post-Colonial Theory, India and "The Mystic East".

 Taylor & Francis e-Library. 2001
- Larson, Gerald James .*Hinduism. In: "World Religions in America: An Introduction"*.

 Westminster John Knox Press. 2009

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ONLINE OF HUMANITIES (IJOHMN) ISSN: 2395 –5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

Mayeda, Sengaku. A thousand teachings: the Upadeśasāhasrī of Śaṅkara. Motilal Banarsidass. 2006

Michaels, Axel.. *Hinduism. Past and present. Princeton*, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

2004

Minor, Rober Neil .Radhakrishnan: A Religious Biography. SUNY Press. 1987

Morris, Brian. *Religion and Anthropology: A Critical Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.2006

Mudgal, S.G.. Advaita of Shankara: A Reappraisal. New Delhi: Motilal Banarsidas, 1975

Mukhyananda, Swami: *The Life@Philosophy of Sri Sankaracharya*, Kalady, Sri Ramakrishna Adwaita Ashrama, 2005 Nakamura, Hajime (2004).

Nath, Vijay (March-April 2001). "From 'Brahmanism' to 'Hinduism': Negotiating the Myth of the Great Tradition". Social Scientist: 19–50.

Pande, G.C.. Life and Thought of Śaṅkarācārya. Motilal Banarsidass. 2011

Pandey, S.L. "Pre-Sankara Advaita. In: Chattopadhyana (gen.ed.), "History of Science, Philosophy and Culture in Indian Civilization. Volume II Part 2: Advaita Vedanta".

Delhi: Centre for Studies in Civilizations. 2000

Popular Prakashan. Students' Britannica India, Volumes 1–5. Popular Prakashan. 2000

Pradhavananda; Isherwood, Christopher. *Shankara's Crest-Jewel of Discrimination*. USA: Vedanta Press. 1978

Ranganathananda, Swami. The Message of Vivekachudamani, Calcutta, Ramakrishna Mutt.2007 Roodurmun, Pulasth Soobah .*Bhāmatī and Vivaraṇa Schools of Advaita Vedānta:*A Critical

Approach. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publishers Private Limited. 2002

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ONLINE OF HUMANITIES (IJOHMN) ISSN: 2395 –5155

Volume I Issue VII December 2015

- Rosen, Steven. Essential Hinduism, Greenwood Publishing Group,2006
- Samuel, Geoffrey (2010). *The Origins of Yoga and Tantra. Indic Religions to the Thirteenth Century*. Cambridge University Press.
- Scheepers, Alfred (2000). De Wortels van het Indiase Denken. Olive Press.
- Shah-Kazemi, Reza "Paths to Transcendence: According to Shankara, Ibn Arabi & Meister Eckhart". World Wisdom. 2006
- Sharma, Chandradhar. Indian Philosophy: A Critical Survey. New York: Barnes & Noble.1962
- Sharma, C.. A Critical Survey of Indian Philosophy. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers. 1997
- Sharma, B. N. Krishnamurti. *History of the Dvaita School of Vedānta and Its Literature: From the Earliest Beginnings to Our Own Times*. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers. 2000
- Shetty, V. T. Rajshekar "Caste, a nation within the nation: recipe for a bloodless revolution".

 Books for Change.2002
- Singh, N.; Barauh, B.. "Encyclopaedic Dictionary of Pali Literature, Volume 1". Global Vision.2004
- Subramony, R The Life and Philosophy of Adi Sankaracharya, New Delhi, Academic Books International, 2018
- Tapasyananda. Sankara-Dig-Vijaya: The Traditional Life of Sri Sankaracharya by Madhava-Vidyaranya. India: Sri Ramakrishna Math. 2002
- White (ed.), David Gordon , *Introduction In: Tantra in practice*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton

University Press.2000